

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,501	03/13/2000	Marvin A Leedom	2506.2008-001	6414
21005 75	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
HAMILTON,	BROOK, SMITH & RI	NI, SUHAN		
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₩ -		
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/524,501	LEEDOM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suhan Ni	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of to priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	This action is non-final. bwance except for formal management	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,20 and 69-75 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 20, 69-75 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawing	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/04.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/524,501

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission for RCE filed on 08/24/2004 has been entered.

2. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 08/24/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 20, 69 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmitt et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,889,874).

Regarding claims 1, 20 and 69, Schmitt et al. disclose a battery (16) for a hearing aid (Figure 2), the battery being configured to substantially conform to a longitudinal portion of an ear canal between an aperture and a first bend of the ear canal as claimed (Fig. 1), the battery being generally cylindrical in shape having an outer diameter dimension which varies from D1 to D2 in a longitudinal direction along its length dimension L and wherein L is less than D1 or D2

Art Unit: 2643

and the battery fits in a housing in the hearing aid such that the diameter dimension extends traverse the ear canal as claimed.

Regarding claims 5 and 72, Schmitt et al. further disclose the battery of the hearing aid, .
wherein the hearing aid is non-disposable.

Clāim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 6-8, 70-71 and 73-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,889,874) in view of Malay (U. S. Pat. 5,712,058).

Regarding claims 3, 7-8, 70 and 74-75, Schmitt et al. do not clearly teach the battery having a step as claimed. Malay discloses a battery for hearing aid having a stepped configuration (Fig. 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the battery as taught by Malay for the hearing aid as an alternate choice, in order to efficiently and effectively operate the hearing aid.

Regarding claims 4 and 71, neither Schmitt et al. nor Malay clearly teach the hearing aid being disposable as claimed. Since providing a low cost disposable hearing aid is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to provide the low cost disposable hearing aid, in order to efficiently and effectively operate the hearing aid for the user.

Regarding claims 6 and 73, neither Schmitt et al. nor Malay clearly teach the hearing aid having an elliptical cross-sectioned configuration as claimed. Since providing a suitable configuration for the hearing aid housing is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a suitable configuration for the hearing aid housing, such as an elliptical cross-sectioned configuration, in order to provide more comfort fitting for the user.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (703)-308-9322, and the number for fax machine is (703)-305-9508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached at (703) 305-4708.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 09/524,501

Art Unit: 2643

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SN

November 26, 2004